



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,849	12/04/2003	Thomas Song	7447	
39907	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS SONG 42827 SPINKS FERRY RD			NORMAN, MARC E	
LEESBURG, VA 20176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/727,849	SONG, THOMAS	Ou		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marc E. Norman	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status					
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 L	December 2003.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr		merits is		
Disposition of Claims	· ·	,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.				
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	.xamilier. Note the attached Office	2 Action of Tomin 1	0 102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National s	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail [3] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/727,849

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: Each of the claims must be structured as a single sentence. Accordingly, the periods at the end of each of the claim sub-sections must be replaced by commas. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sastry.

As per claim 1, Sastry discloses a 3-dimensional structure above a bed (Figure 4), venting/AC device 102, sensors for conditions of the internal space (column 5, lines 39-43), personal preference input unit (column 5, line 36), and a control unit (column 5, lines 31-62).

As per claim 2, Sastry discloses a lighting device (column 9, line 46), 3-dimensional structure, support footing, walls and ceiling, and means to fold the walls/ceiling (as illustrated in Figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/727,849

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sastry in view of Brewer, Jr., et al.

As per claim 3, Sastry teaches a filtration device (column 7, lines 14-16), heating device 318, cooling device 306, humidity control device (column 5, lines 47-57), air propelling device (blower 322), air intake 304, and air outtake 302. Sastry does not teach an oxygen supply device. Brewer, Jr., et al. teaches a bed cooling chamber in combination with an oxygen supply device. Similarly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine such an oxygen device to the system of Sastry for the purpose of controlling oxygen conditions within the controlled space.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/727,849

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and also to correct the claim objections cited above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER